

1
2
3
4

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

8 GILBERT ROBLES HERNANDEZ, JR., No. C-12-1159 EMC (pr)
9 Plaintiff,

10 v. **ORDER OF DISMISSAL**
11 PERN. AND SHOUWN,
12 Defendants.

15 Upon initial review, the Court determined that Plaintiff's complaint was incomprehensible
16 and therefore subject to dismissal under 28 U.S.C. § 1915A as frivolous. The Court ordered Plaintiff
17 to file an amended complaint and explained what he needed to do to state a claim under 42 U.S.C. §
18 1983. *See* June 22, 2012 Order Of Dismissal With Leave To Amend, p. 2. The Court cautioned
19 Plaintiff that failure to file an amended complaint by the deadline would result in the dismissal of
20 this action. Plaintiff did not file an amended complaint, and the deadline by which to do so has long
21 passed. (Plaintiff did file a three page document (Docket # 6) that appears to quote at length a
22 portion of a century-old speech urging the adoption of a constitutional amendment granting the right
23 of suffrage to women, but that document does not allege any legal claim and cannot reasonably be
24 construed to be an amended complaint.) Accordingly, this action is **DISMISSED** as frivolous.

25 | //

26 | //

37 | //

28 | 11

1 Plaintiff's *in forma pauperis* application is **DENIED** as incomplete. (Docket # 2.)
2 The Clerk shall close the file.
3
4 IT IS SO ORDERED.
5
6 Dated: September 13, 2012

7 
8 EDWARD M. CHEN
United States District Judge